## ME 115(a): Solution to Homework #2

**Problem 1:** Find the axis of rotation and angle of rotation associated with the following rotation matrix:

0.866025	-0.353553	0.353553	
0.353553	0.933013	0.0669873	
-0.353553	0.0669873	0.933013	

Let the matrix entries be denoted  $a_{ij}$ , where i = 1, 2, 3 denotes the row, and j = 1, 2, 3 denotes the column. From class notes or the text:

$$\cos(\phi) = \frac{a_{11} + a_{22} + a_{33} - 1}{2} = \frac{0.866025 + 0.933013 + 0.933013 - 1.0}{2} = 0.8660245$$

where  $\phi$  is the angle of rotation. Thus,  $\phi = \cos^{-1}(0.8660245) = 30^{\circ}$ . Thus,  $\sin(\phi) = 0.5$ , and therefore:

$$s_x = \frac{a_{32} - a_{23}}{2\sin\phi} = 0.0\tag{1}$$

$$s_y = \frac{a_{13} - a_{31}}{2\sin\phi} = 0.707106 \tag{2}$$

$$s_z = \frac{a_{21} - a_{12}}{2\sin\phi} = 0.707106 \tag{3}$$

## **Problem 2:** Can every orthogonal matrix be represented by the exponential of a real matrix?

You should have either remembered or derived the fact that  $det(e^C) = e^{tr(C)}$ , where tr(C) is the trace of determinant C. Note that if tr(C) is real, than  $e^{tr(C)}$  is always a positive number and therefore orthogonal matrices with determinant -1 can not be represented as a matrix exponential. This arises from the fact that the Orthogonal Group is a disconnected group. That is, the matrices with +1 determinant are all connected to each other, and similarly the ones with -1 determinant. But, the two subsets are disjoint.

Note, that if  $tr(C) = i\pi$  (where  $i^2 = -1$ ), then  $det(e^C) = e^{i\pi} = \cos(\pi) + i\sin(\pi) = -1$ . However, tr(C) can not assume the value of  $i\pi$  if C is real. Recall that the trace of a matrix is equal to the sum of its eigenvalues. Let C be a  $n \times n$  matrix. If n is even, then all of the eigenvalues of C must be complex conjugates, or an even number of real roots. Thus, the sum of the eigenvalues must be real. Similarly, if n is odd, the eigenvalues will either be complex conjugates and/or an odd number of real eigenvalues. Thus, the sum of the eigenvalues must also be real number. Thus, if C is a real matrix (as specified in part (a)), then  $e^C$  can not represent orthogonal matrices with determinant -1.

**Problem 3:** Let Z-X-Y Euler angles be denoted by  $\psi$ ,  $\phi$ , and  $\gamma$ .

• Part (a): Develop an expression for the rotation matrix that describes the Z-X-Y rotation as a function of the angles  $\psi$ ,  $\phi$ , and  $\gamma$ .

Rotation about the z-axis by angle  $\psi$  can be represented by a rotation matrix whose form can be determined from the Rodriguez Equation:

$$Rot(\vec{z},\psi) = I + \sin\psi\hat{z} + (1-\cos\psi)\hat{z}^2 = \begin{bmatrix} \cos\psi & -\sin\psi & 0\\ \sin\psi & \cos\psi & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Using the Rodriguez equation, the rotations about the y-axis and x-axis can be similarly found as:

$$Rot(\vec{x},\phi) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & \cos\phi & -\sin\phi\\ 0 & \sin\phi & \cos\phi \end{bmatrix} \qquad Rot(\vec{y},\gamma) = \begin{bmatrix} \cos\gamma & 0 & \sin\gamma\\ 0 & 1 & 0\\ -\sin\gamma & 0 & \cos\gamma \end{bmatrix}$$

Multiplying the matrices yields the result:

$$R(\psi,\phi,\gamma) = Rot(\vec{z},\psi) Rot(\vec{x},\phi) Rot(\vec{y},\gamma) = \begin{bmatrix} (c\psi c\gamma - s\psi s\phi s\gamma) & -s\psi c\phi & (c\psi s\gamma & (c\psi s\gamma + s\psi s\phi c\gamma)) \\ (s\psi c\gamma + c\psi s\phi s\gamma) & c\psi c\phi & (s\psi s\gamma - c\psi s\phi c\gamma) \\ -c\phi s\gamma & s\phi & c\phi c\gamma \end{bmatrix}$$
(4)

where  $c\phi$  and  $s\phi$  are respectively shorthand notation for  $\cos\phi$  and  $\sin\phi$ , etc.

• Part (b): Given a rotation matrix of the form:

$$R = \begin{bmatrix} r_{11} & r_{12} & r_{13} \\ r_{21} & r_{22} & r_{23} \\ r_{31} & r_{32} & r_{33} \end{bmatrix}$$
(5)

compute the angles  $\psi$ ,  $\phi$ , and  $\gamma$  as a function of the  $r_{ij}$ .

Direct observation of the matrices in Equations (4) and (5) show that:

$$\sin\phi = r_{32}$$
 .

Because  $\sin(\pi - \phi) = \sin \phi$ , there are two solutions to this equation:  $\phi_1 = \sin^{-1}(r_{32})$ , and  $\phi_2 = \pi - \phi_1$ . Similar matchings of the matrix components yield:

$$\psi = Atan2\left[\frac{r_{22}}{\cos\phi}, \frac{-r_{12}}{\cos\phi}\right]$$
$$\gamma = Atan2\left[\frac{r_{33}}{\cos\phi}, \frac{-r_{31}}{\cos\phi}\right]$$

where the value  $\phi_1$  or  $\phi_2$  is used consistently

## **Problem 4:** Problem 4(a,b) in Chapter 2 of MLS

**Part (a):** Let's assume that the statement in part (b) of the problem is true. Let  $\vec{w}$  be a  $3 \times 1$  vector and let  $\vec{v}$  be any  $3 \times 1$  vector. Then:

$$\begin{aligned} (R\hat{w}R^T)\vec{v} &= R\hat{w}(R^T\vec{v}) \\ &= R(\vec{w}\times(R^T\vec{v})) \\ &= (R\vec{w})\times(RR^T\vec{v}) \\ &= (R\vec{w})\times\vec{v} \\ &= (\widehat{R\vec{w}})\vec{v} \end{aligned}$$

Since this must be true for any vector  $\vec{v}$ , then  $R\hat{w}R^T = (R\vec{w})$ .

Part (b): We can now assume that part (a) holds.

$$(R\vec{v}) \times (R\vec{w}) = (\widehat{R\vec{v}})(R\vec{w})$$
$$= (R\hat{v}R^T)(R\vec{w})$$
$$= R\hat{v}R^TR\vec{w}$$
$$= R(\hat{v}\vec{w})$$
$$= R(\vec{v} \times \vec{w})$$

## Problem 5: Problem 5 in Chapter 2 of MLS

**Part (a):** To show that a matrix, R is in SO(3), we must show that  $R R^T = I$ , where I is the  $3 \times 3$  identity matrix, and that det(R) = 1. We are given that:

$$R = (I - \hat{a})^{-1}(I + \hat{a}) \tag{6}$$

where  $\hat{a}$  is a 3 × 3 skew symmetric matrix. Thus, the first step is:

$$R R^{T} = (I - \hat{a})^{-1} (I + \hat{a}) (I + \hat{a})^{T} (I - \hat{a})^{-T}$$
(7)

$$= (I - \hat{a})^{-1} (I + \hat{a}) (I - \hat{a}) (I + \hat{a})^{-1}$$
(8)

where we have used the fact that  $\hat{a}^T = -\hat{a}$  in the second identity. Note that  $(I + \hat{a})(I - \hat{a}) = I - \hat{a}^2 = (I - \hat{a})(I + \hat{a})$ . Substituting this result into Equation (7) yields the answer:

$$R R^{T} = (I - \hat{a})^{-1} (I + \hat{a}) (I - \hat{a}) (I + \hat{a})^{-1}$$
(9)

$$= (I - \hat{a})^{-1} (I - \hat{a}) (I + \hat{a}) (I + \hat{a})^{-1}$$
(10)

$$= I$$
 (11)

.

To check the determinant, note that

$$det(R) = det[(I - \hat{a})^{-1}(I + \hat{a})] = \frac{det(I + \hat{a})}{det(I - \hat{a})}$$

We have already shown that R is an orthogonal matrix, and therefore it's determinant is +1 or -1. Since the determinant is a continuous function, at a = 0 note that det(R) = +1. Hence, det(R) = +1 for all values of  $\hat{a}$ . If you didn't know that det(R) is a continuous function, then brute force algebra will yield the same result.

Part (b): There were several ways to show that

$$R = (I - \hat{a})^{-1}(I + \hat{a}) = \frac{1}{1 + ||a||^2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 + a_1^2 - a_2^2 - a_3^2 & 2(a_1a_2 - a_3) & 2(a_1a_3 + a_2) \\ 2(a_1a_2 + a_3) & 1 - a_1^2 + a_2^2 - a_3^2 & 2(a_2a_3 - a_1) \\ 2(a_1a_3 - a_2) & 2(a_2a_3 + a_1) & 1 - a_1^2 - a_2^2 + a_3^2 \end{bmatrix}$$
(12)

Of course, you could have expanded out the expression for R to show the equivalence. The least grungy way (in terms of messy algebra) was to show that  $(I - \hat{a})R = I + \hat{a}$ , where R is the expression for the rotation matrix in terms of  $a_1$ ,  $a_2$ , and  $a_3$  (Equation (13) below).

**Part (c):** There are multiple ways to solve this problem. The simplest way is to use the expression of part 5(b) (Equation (12) quoted in the text:

$$R = \frac{1}{1+||a||^2} \begin{bmatrix} 1+a_1^2-a_2^2-a_3^2 & 2(a_1a_2-a_3) & 2(a_1a_3+a_2) \\ 2(a_1a_2+a_3) & 1-a_1^2+a_2^2-a_3^2 & 2(a_2a_3-a_1) \\ 2(a_1a_3-a_2) & 2(a_2a_3+a_1) & 1-a_1^2-a_2^2+a_3^2 \end{bmatrix}$$
(13)

where  $||a||^2$  is shorthand notation for  $||a||^2 = a_1^2 + a_2^2 + a_3^2$ . Noting that

$$trace(R) = \frac{3 - ||a||^2}{1 + ||a||^2} \Rightarrow ||a||^2 = \frac{3 - trace(R)}{1 + trace(R)} = \frac{3 - r_{11} - r_{22} - r_{33}}{1 + r_{11} + r_{22} + r_{33}}$$

so that an expression for  $||a||^2$  is known, simple algebraic manipulation of the off-diagonal term of R in Equation (13) yield

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \\ a_3 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1+||a||^2}{4} \begin{bmatrix} r_{32}-r_{23} \\ r_{13}-r_{31} \\ r_{21}-r_{12} \end{bmatrix}$$

**Problem 6:** Problem 10 (a,b) in Chapter 2 of MLS (not including the question of surjectivity in 10(b)).

Note that

$$\hat{\omega} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -w \\ w & 0 \end{bmatrix} = wJ \quad \text{where } J = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\hat{\omega}^2 = w^2 \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} = -w^2I; \quad \hat{\omega}^3 = -w^3J$$

Then:

Hence the exponential of  $\hat{\omega}$  can be computed as:

$$\exp(\theta \hat{\omega}) = \left(I + \frac{\theta}{1!}\hat{\omega} + \frac{\theta^2}{2!}\hat{\omega}^2 + \cdots\right)$$
$$= \left(I + \frac{w\theta}{1!}J - \frac{w^2\theta^2}{2!}I - \frac{w^3\theta^3}{3!}J + \cdots\right)$$
$$= \left(1 - \frac{w^2\theta^2}{2!} + \cdots\right)I + \left(\frac{w\theta}{1!} - \frac{w^3\theta^3}{3!} + \cdots\right)J$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix}\cos(w\theta) & -\sin(w\theta)\\\sin(w\theta) & \cos(w\theta)\end{bmatrix}$$